IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS DALLAS DIVISION

JOSE PEREZ	
Plaintiff,	\$
	\$
VS.	
	CIVIL ACTION NO
{	
DAVID HILL KING AND	§
LEE MARTIN SILVA and	}
NATIONWIDE MUTUAL	8
INSURANCE COMPANY	
Defendant.	}

NOTICE OF REMOVAL

Defendants Nationwide Mutual Insurance Company, David Hill King and Lee Martin Silva ("Defendants") file this Notice of Removal of the above-styled action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1446(a) and would respectfully represent and show unto this Court the following:

A. Introduction

- 1. Pursuant to Local Rules 3.1 and 81.1, Defendants file their Civil Cover Sheet (Exhibit "A").
- 2. On or about April 5, 2017, Plaintiff Jose Perez ("Plaintiff") initiated the state court lawsuit against Defendants David Hill King and Lee Martin Silva in the 134th Judicial District Court of Dallas County, Texas, styled *Jose Perez v. David Hill King and Lee Martin Silva*, pending as Cause No. DC-17-04017 ("the state court action"). In the state court action, Plaintiff seeks actual damages for violations of the Texas Deceptive Trade Practices Act ("DTPA") and violations of the Texas Insurance Code Further, Plaintiff seeks attorneys' fees, treble damages, exemplary damages and 18% interest. *See Plaintiff's Original Petition*, attached hereto as Exhibit "B".

- 3. Plaintiff then filed an amended petition in the state court action naming Nationwide Mutual Insurance Company as a Defendant. See Plaintiff's First Amended Original Petition, attached hereto as Exhibit "C".
- 3. The attorneys involved in the action being removed are listed as follows:

Party and Party Type	Attorney(s)
Jose Perez - Plaintiff	Mark S. Humprheys Texas Bar No. 00789762 Mark S. Humphreys, P.C. 702 Dalworth Street Grand Prairie, Texas 75050 Telephone (972) 263-3722 Fax (972) 237-1690
Nationwide Mutual Insurance	
Company - Defendant	Randall G. Walters Texas Bar No. 20819480 Walters, Balido & Crain, L.L.P. Meadow Park Tower 10440 N. Central Expy, Suite 1500 Dallas, TX 75231 Telephone (214) 749-4805 Facsimile: (214) 760-1670 Randy.walters@wbclawfirm.com
David Hill King - Defendant	Randall G. Walters Texas Bar No. 20819480 Walters, Balido & Crain, L.L.P. Meadow Park Tower 10440 N. Central Expy, Suite 1500 Dallas, TX 75231 Telephone (214) 749-4805 Facsimile: (214) 760-1670 Randy.walters@wbclawfirm.com
Lee Martin Silva - Defendant	Randall G. Walters Texas Bar No. 20819480 Walters, Balido & Crain, L.L.P. Meadow Park Tower 10440 N. Central Expy, Suite 1500

Dallas, TX 75231 Telephone (214) 749-4805 Facsimile: (214) 760-1670

Randy.walters@wbclawfirm.com

4. The name and address of the court from which the case is being removed is as follows:

The Honorable Dale Tillery 134th District Court George L. Allen, Sr. Courts Building 600 Commerce Street, 6th Floor West Dallas, Texas 75202 214.653.6995

B. Notice of Removal Timely

5. Nationwide was served with process and a copy of Plaintiff's suit on August 2, 2017. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1446(b), this Notice of Removal is filed within thirty (30) days after receipt by Defendant, through service or otherwise, of a copy of the initial pleading setting forth the claim for relief upon which the action or proceeding is based. Consequently, this Notice of Removal is timely.

C. Complete Diversity

- 6. Pursuant to the state court action, Jose Perez is a citizen of the State of Texas, located in Dallas County, Texas.
- 7. Defendant Nationwide Mutual Insurance Company is now, and was at all times relevant hereto, a citizen of the state of Ohio, incorporated in the state of Ohio, and having its principal place of business in the state of Ohio.
- 8. Defendants David Hill King and Lee Martin Silva are citizens of the State of Texas. However, Nationwide would show that Plaintiff simply filed the present case against King and Silva in a separate action and then subsequently added Nationwide to the case nearly four months later after Judge Kinkeade had already denied a motion to remand of a nearly identical case against Nationwide pending in the Northern District of Texas Dallas Division titled *Jose*

Perez v. Nationwide Mutual Insurance Company pending in Cause No. 3:16-cv-03502-K. Plaintiff's inclusion of King and Silva in a separate state court case and his efforts to amend his petition to name Nationwide as a defendant are nothing more than an attempt to circumvent Judge Kinkeade's previous order denying Plaintiff's motion to remand/consolidate and an effort to prevent removal of the case to federal court. Defendants would show that for purposes of removal, the citizenship of King and Silva should be disregarded because they have been included in the present case solely for the purpose of defeating diversity of citizenship jurisdiction.

- 9. The Fifth Circuit has previously held that a removing party alleging fraudulent joinder must prove either actual fraud in the pleading of jurisdiction facts or, as is applicable in the present case, the Plaintiff's inability to establish a cause of action against the non-diverse party in state court. Smallwood v. Illinois Cen. R.R. Co., 385 F.3d 568, 573 (5th Cir. 2004). In United Energy Group, the Fifth Circuit clarified Smallwood by emphasizing that the federal/Twombly pleading standard should apply to this analysis. Int'l Energy Ventures Mgmt., L.L.C. v. United Energy Grp., Ltd., 818 F.3d 193, 208 (5th Cir. 2016). In fact, the Northern District of Texas has previously denied motions to remand when insurance agents were added to negligent misrepresentation and DTPA suits by way of fraudulent joinder. Davis v. State Farm Lloyds, 2015 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 95086 (N.D. Tex. 2015).
- 10. Texas law is well settled that, "in most circumstances, an insured may not prevail on a bad faith claim without first showing that the insurer breached the contract." *Liberty Nat. Fire. Ins. Co. v. Akin*, 927 S.W.2d 627, 629 (Tex. 1996); *See Progressive County Mut. Ins. Co. v. Boyd*, 177 S.W.3d 919, 921 (Tex.2005) (per curiam) (An extra-contractual claim cannot survive resolution of coverage in favor of the insurer). In the present case, Plaintiff chose not to assert a

breach of contract claim against any Defendant in this case. Although Plaintiff asserted a number of causes of action relating to extra-contractual bad faith by Nationwide, the Texas Supreme Court has consistently held that breach of contract and breach of warranty/bad faith are separate causes of action and must be plead separately in order for both to be decided by the trier of fact. Southwestern Bell. Tel. Co. v. FDP Corp., 811 S.W.2d 572, 576 (Tex. 1991). Because Plaintiff's pleadings fail to include a breach of contract claim, the issue of whether Nationwide breached a contract of insurance with Plaintiff will not be considered by the jury.

- 11. As shown above, Plaintiff declined to assert a breach of contract claim against Nationwide. Because the jury will not return a verdict relating to breach of contract by Nationwide, Plaintiff is precluded from recovering damages for extra-contractual violations by Nationwide, King, or Silva or even submitting questions on such issues to the jury. *See Akin*, 927 S.W.2d at 629 (Tex. 1996). As currently plead, Plaintiff's Amended Petition does not allege facts or any valid causes of action which would support recovery by Plaintiff against King or Silva. Plaintiff's inclusion of King and Silva in this case amounts to fraudulent joinder, and their citizenship should not be considered by this Court in determining whether diversity of citizenship exists for purpose of removal.
- 12. In light of the foregoing, the parties are of completely diverse citizenship. See 28 U.S.C. §§ 1332(a), 1441(b).
- 13. Plaintiff's First Amended Petition alleges actual damages, seeks three times the amount of actual damages, and seeks attorneys' fees, interest and exemplary damages. Exhibit "C" at 48-49, 54-55. Specifically, Plaintiff seeks an amount over \$100,000.00 but less than \$200,000.00. *Id.*

- 14. In determining the amount in controversy, the court may consider "policy limits ... penalties, statutory damages, and punitive damages." St. Paul Reinsurance Co., Ltd. v. Greenberg, 134 F.3d 1250, 1253 (5th Cir. 1998); see Ray v. State Farm Lloyds, No. CIV.A.3:98-CV-1288-G, 1999 WL 151667, at *2-*3 (N.D. Tex. Mar. 10, 1999) (finding a sufficient amount in controversy in plaintiff's case against their insurance company for breach of contract, fraud, negligence, gross negligence, bad faith, violations of the Texas Insurance Code, violations of the Texas Deceptive Trade Practices Act, and mental anguish); Fairmont Travel, Inc. v. George S. May Int'l Co., et al., 75 F.Supp.2d 666, 668 (S.D. Tex. 1999) (considering DTPA claims and the potential for recovery of punitive damages for the amount in controversy determination); Chittick v. Farmers Ins. Exchange, 844 F.Supp. 1153, 1155 (S.D. Tex. 1994) (finding a sufficient amount in controversy after considering the nature of the claims, the types of damages sought, and the presumed net worth of the defendant in a claim brought by the insureds against their insurance company for actual and punitive damages arising from a claim they made for roof damages).
- 15. Plaintiff's claims are centered on Nationwide's alleged failure to pay the proceeds of Plaintiff's policy for damages to Plaintiff's vehicle. Plaintiff, as stated in his Original Petition, seek damages for breach of contract, violations of the Texas Insurance Code, as well as prejudgment interest, post-judgment interest, court costs, attorneys' fees and penalties, including statutory treble damages and exemplary damages.
- 16. A court can determine that removal is proper from a plaintiff's pleadings if plaintiff's claims are those that are likely to exceed the jurisdictional amount. See Allen v. R&H Oil & Gas., Co, 63 F.3d 1326, 1335 (5th Cir. 1995); De Aguilar v. Boeing Co., 11 F.3d 55, 57 (5th Cir. 1993). Only "expenses and costs" are excluded from the calculation of the matter in controversy. 28 U.S.C. § 1332(a). Attorney's fees are an element of the amount in controversy where their

recovery is authorized by a statute under which the plaintiff sues. *H&D Tire & Auto. Hardware, Inc. v. Pitney Bowes, Inc.*, 227 F.3d 326, 330 (5th Cir. 2000), cert. denied, 534 U.S. 894 (2001).

17. Plaintiff even specifically stated in his Amended Petition that he seeks monetary relief of over \$100,000 but less than \$200,000.00. Exhibit "C". Therefore, the amount in controversy in this case exceeds the jurisdictional requirements. *Id*.

D. All Pleadings of State Court Action Attached As Exhibits

18. Copies of all pleadings, process, orders, and other filings in the state court action are attached to this notice as required by 28 U.S.C. § 1446(a). See Exhibit "D".

E. Basis for Removal

19. Because this is a civil action of which the District Courts of the United States have original jurisdiction, this case may be removed by this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1446(b). Further, because Plaintiff is a citizen and resident of Texas, Defendant Nationwide is a citizen of Ohio, and the citizenship of Defendants King and Silva should be disregarded for jurisdictional purposes, and the amount in controversy exceeds \$75,000, the Court has subject matter jurisdiction based on diversity of citizenship and residency. 28 U.S.C. § 1132. As such, this removal action is proper.

F. Venue

20. Venue is proper in this district under 28 U.S.C. §1441(a) because this district and division embrace the place where the removed action has been pending.

G. Filing of Notice with State Court

21. Promptly after filing this Notice of Removal, Notice will give written notice of the removal to Plaintiff through his attorney of record and to the clerk of the state court.

H. Defendant's Demand for Jury Trial

22. Defendants King and Silva demanded a jury trial in their original answer. Nationwide also asserts its rights under the Seventh Amendment to the U.S. Constitution and demands, in accordance with Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 38, a trial by jury on all issues.

PRAYER

23. WHEREFORE, PREMISES CONSIDERED, Defendants Nationwide Mutual Insurance Company, David Hill King, and Lee Martin Silva request that this Court proceed with the handling of this cause as if it had been originally filed herein, that this Court make such orders, if any, and take such action, if any, as may be necessary in connection with the removal of the state court action to this Court, and that they have such other and further relief to which they may show themselves entitled.

Respectfully submitted,

WALTERS, BALIDO & CRAIN, L.L.P.

BY: /s/ Randall G. Walters

RANDALL G. WALTERS - 20819480

Meadow Park Tower

10440 N. Central Expy, Suite 1500

Dallas, Texas 75231 214/347-8381 - FAX 214/347-8380 - DIRECT 214/749-4805 - MAIN

Email: randy.walters@wbclawfirm.com

ATTORNEY FOR DEFENDANTS

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

This is to certify that on the 15th day of August, 2017, a true and correct copy of the above and foregoing was forwarded to all counsel of record in accordance with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

/s/ Randall G. Walters RANDALL G. WALTERS

EXHIBIT A

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS Jose Perez				DEFENDANTS David Hill King, Lee Martin Silva, Nationwide Mutual Insurance Company					
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Dallas				County of Residence of First Listed Defendant Dallas					
• • •	CEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CA				(IN U.S. PL	AINTIFF CASES OF	VLY)		
				NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.					
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, A	ddress, and Telephone Number)		Attorneys (If Known)					
Mark S. Humphreys			Randall G. Walters						
Mark S. Humphreys, P.C.70	2 Dalworth Street Grand	f Prairie, Texas 750:	50	Walters Balido & Cra	ain, LLP 10)440 N. Central	Expy, Suite 1	.500	
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	CTION (Place an "X" in Or	ne Box Only)		TIZENSHIP OF PF (For Diversity Cases Only)	RINCIPA	L PARTIES (Place an "X" in (and One Box fo		
☐ 1 U.S. Government ☐ 3 Federal Question			PTF DEF PTF DEF						
Plaintiff	(U.S. Government Not a Party)		Citize	zen of This State 💢 l 🗇 l Incorporated or Principal Place 🗇 4 🛱 of Business In This State					☼ 4
2 U.S. Government Defendant	■ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)		Citize	en of Another State		orporated and Principal Place			
				en or Subject of a reign Country	3 🗇 3	Foreign Nation		□ 6	<u> </u>
IV. NATURE OF SUIT			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			here for: Nature o			
CONTRACT	PERSONAL INJURY	RTS PERSONAL INJUR		DRFEITURE/PENALTY 5 Drug Related Seizure		KRUPTCY al 28 USC 158	OTHER S		P. C.
	☐ 310 Airplane	365 Personal Injury -		of Property 21 USC 881	423 Witho		☐ 376 Qui Tam		
☐ 130 Miller Act	315 Airplane Product	Product Liability	☐ 69	00 Other	28 U	SC 157	3729(a))		mont
☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument ☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment	Liability 320 Assault, Libel &	367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical	ļ		PROPER	TY RIGHTS	☐ 400 State Rea ☐ 410 Antitrust		ment
& Enforcement of Judgment	• · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Personal Injury			☐ 820 Copy		🗇 430 Banks ar	nd Bankin	g
151 Medicare Act	330 Federal Employers'	Product Liability			☐ 830 Paten		450 Commer		
☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted	Liability	☐ 368 Asbestos Persona Injury Product	1		1	t - Abbreviated Drug Application	460 Deportat 470 Racketee		ced and
Student Loans (Excludes Veterans)	☐ 340 Marine ☐ 345 Marine Product	Liability			340 Trade			Organizati	
☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment	Liability	PERSONAL PROPE		LABOR		SECURITY	☐ 480 Consume		
of Veteran's Benefits	☐ 350 Motor Vehicle	370 Other Fraud	1	10 Fair Labor Standards	☐ 861 HIA		☐ 490 Cable/Sa☐ 850 Securitie		dities/
160 Stockholders' Suits	☐ 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability	371 Truth in Lending380 Other Personal		Act 20 Labor/Management	☐ 862 Black	C/DIWW (405(g))	Exchange		GI(ICS)
☐ 190 Other Contract ☐ 195 Contract Product Liability	360 Other Personal	Property Damage		Relations	☐ 864 SSID		☐ 890 Other St	atutory A	ctions
☐ 196 Franchise	Injury	☐ 385 Property Damage		10 Railway Labor Act	☐ 865 RSI (405(g))	891 Agricult		
	362 Personal Injury -	Product Liability	0 75	I Family and Medical Leave Act			893 Environm		
REAL PROPERTY	Medical Malpractice CIVIL RIGHTS	PRISONER PETITIO	NS 0 79	90 Other Labor Litigation	FEDERA	L TAX SUITS	Act		
210 Land Condemnation	☐ 440 Other Civil Rights	Habeas Corpus:		Hamployee Retirement		s (U.S. Plaintiff	🗖 896 Arbitrati		
220 Foreclosure	☐ 441 Voting	☐ 463 Alien Detainee		Income Security Act		efendant)	☐ 899 Adminis		
230 Rent Lease & Ejectment	442 Employment	☐ 510 Motions to Vacat	e		☐ 871 IRS—	–Third Party SC 7609		iew or Ap Decision	peal of
☐ 240 Torts to Land ☐ 245 Tort Product Liability	☐ 443 Housing/ Accommodations	Sentence □ 530 General			1 200	30 7007	950 Constitu		of
290 All Other Real Property	445 Amer, w/Disabilities -	☐ 535 Death Penalty	100000000000000000000000000000000000000	IMMIGRATION			State Sta	itutes	
	Employment	Other:		62 Naturalization Application					
	 446 Amer, w/Disabilities - Other 	☐ 540 Mandamus & Ot ☐ 550 Civil Rights	her 13 40	65 Other Immigration Actions					
	☐ 448 Education	555 Prison Condition		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	1				
		560 Civil Detainee -							
		Conditions of Confinement							
V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" i	in One Box Oxful								
□ 1 Original 💢 2 Re		Remanded from Appellate Court		nstated or	r District	☐ 6 Multidistr Litigation Transfer	·	Multidi: Litigatio Direct F	on -
	Cite the U.S. Civil Sta	tute under which you	are filing (Do not cite jurisdictional stat					
	28 H S. Code 8 13			•					
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION	Brief description of G								
	Bad-faith insuranc	e dispute					101		· ,
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS UNDER RULE 2	IS A CLASS ACTIO 3, F.R.Cv.P.	N E	DEMAND \$		CHECK YES only URY DEMAND:		i compia: XINo	
VIII. RELATED CAS	E(S)								_
IF ANY	(See instructions):	JUDGE Ed Kinker			DOCK	ET NUMBER 3:	16-cv-03502-	k	
DATE		SIGNATURE OF A		OF RECORD					
08/15/2017		/s/Randall G. W	/alters						
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY									
RECEIPT# A	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDGE		MAG. JUI	OGE		